

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/11/2001			CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/11/2001	Tetsuji Togawa	2001-1521A	7718		
00 09/04/2003					
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
Γ N. W.	ROSE, ROBERT A				
LDC 20006-1021					
,, 20 20000 1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3723			
		DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	4		
]	, LIND & PONACK, I	I, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.	I, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. N. W. ROSE, RO ART UNIT 3723		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/973,842

Applicant(s)

Togawa et al

Examiner

Robert Rose

Art Unit **3723**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the	cover	sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O E)	KPIRE	one	MONTH(S) FROM		
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no a date of this communication.	event	, howeve	er, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the property - If NO property - If NO property - If NO property - If the proper	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will e applic	xpire SIX ation to b	(6) MONTHS ecome ABANI	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-11-01,	ive to communication(s) filed on 10-11-01, 7-15-02					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This action	n is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u>				is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-62</u>			are subjec	et to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a	a) 🗆	acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awin	g(s) be	held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this	Office	action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	ority	under	35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	bee	n rece	ived.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	bee	n rece	ived in Ap	oplication No		
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	u (P(CT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p						
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	priori	ty unc	ler 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		4. C	1		TO 4121 Person No.(a)		
		4) <u> </u>		•	TO-413) Paper No(s)		
	 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)						
77			2				

Application/Control Number: 09/973842

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statements, filed October 11, 2001, and July 15, 2002, respectively.
- 2. Claims 1-62 are presented for examination.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-60, drawn to a polishing apparatus, classified in class 451, subclass 288.
 - II. Claims 61-62, drawn to a method of polishing, classified in class 451, subclass 41.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be performed by another materially different apparatus, such as one lacking a top ring body, wherein the substrate is freely supported in a carrier between polishing pads.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 1, and 60-62 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Group A(Figures 1-6)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/973842 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Group B(Figures 7-11)

Group C(Figures 12-13)

Group D(Figure 14).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3723

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

August 22, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323